Efficacy of Sacituzumab Govitecan (SG) in Locally Advanced (LA) or Metastatic Urothelial Cancer (mUC) by Trophoblast Cell Surface Antigen 2 (Trop-2) Expression

Yohann Loriot,¹ Arjun V. Balar,² Daniel P. Petrylak,³ Arash Rezazadeh Kalebasty,⁴ Petros Grivas,⁵ Aude Fléchon,⁶ Rohit K. Jain,⁷ Neeraj Agarwal,⁸ Manojkumar Bupathi,⁹ Philippe Barthélémy,¹⁰ Philippe Beuzeboc,¹¹ Phillip Palmbos,¹² Christos E. Kyriakopoulos,¹³ Damien Pouessel,¹⁴ Cora N. Sternberg,¹⁵ Julia Tonelli,¹⁶ Emon Elboudwarej,¹⁶ Lauri Diehl,¹⁶ Juliane M. Jürgensmeier,¹⁶ Scott T. Tagawa¹⁵ ¹Institut de Cancérologie Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France; ²Perlmutter Cancer Center, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA; ⁴University of California Irvine, CA, USA; ⁵University of Washington, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA, USA; ⁴University of California Irvine, CA, USA; ⁵University of California Irvine, CA, USA; ⁴University of California Irvine, CA, USA; ⁵University of Washington, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA, USA; ⁴University of California Irvine, CA, USA ⁶Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France; ⁷H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Centers, Littleton, CO, USA; ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg/ Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg/ Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg/ Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg/ Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg/ Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg/ Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg/ Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg/ Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg/ Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg/ Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg/ Institute, ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universi ¹¹Hôpital Foch, Suresnes, France; ¹²University of Michigan Comprehensive Cancer Center, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ¹⁴Institut Claudius Regaud/IUCT-Oncopole, Toulouse, France; ¹⁵Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁶Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA

Background Key Findings Patients with locally advanced (LA) or metastatic urothelial cancer (mUC) who progress after platinum (PT)-based and checkpoint inhibitor (CPI) therapies have limited therapeutic options and an overall poor prognosis, emphasizing the need for new treatments^{1,} - Human trophoblast cell surface antigen 2 (Trop-2) is a 40-kDa transmembrane glycoprotein This analysis of Trop-2 expression encoded by the TACSTD2 gene and is widely expressed in UC, representing a suitable in archival tumor samples collected target for treatment with an anti–Trop-2 antibody³ from patients enrolled in TROPHY-U-01 Sacituzumab govitecan (SG) is an antibody-drug conjugate composed of an antibody targeting Trop-2 coupled to cytotoxic SN-38 payload through a hydrolysable linker showed that Trop-2 is highly expressed (Figure 1)⁴⁻⁷ in UC SG received an accelerated US FDA approval for patients with unresectable, LA or mUC who had previously received PT therapy and a CPI based on the pivotal TROPHY-U-01 phase 2 study⁷⁻¹⁰: No difference in ORR was observed — Cohort 1 (C1; 113 patients with mUC who had progressed after PT-based therapy with different Trop-2 expression mUC who were ineligible for PT-based therapy and progressed after CPI therapy) (median/tertiles) had a 32% ORR; and Cohort 3 (C3; 41 patients with mUC who progressed after PT-based therapy) had a 41% ORR PFS and OS for patients above and _____ below Trop-2 median (or by tertile Figure 1. Sacituzumab govitecan, antibody-drug conjugate^{3,7} cut) were comparable within each cohort, using H-scores or percentage Humanized anti-Trop-2 Linker for SN-38 pH-sensitive, hydrolyzable antibody • Directed toward Trop-2 linker for SN-38 release membrane positivity an epithelial antiger targeted tumor cells and expressed on many solid cancers allowing bystander effect ligh drug-to-antibody ratio (7.6:1) Conclusions SN-38 payload SN-38 more potent that This analysis demonstrated efficacy _____ SN-38 was chosen fo benefit with SG alone or in combination enzymatic cleavage s moderate cvtotoxici (with IC₅₀ in the nanomol with pembrolizumab in mUC, regardless high quantity to the turn of Trop-2 expression Adapted from Rugo HS, et al. TROPICS-02: a phase III study investigating sacituzumab govitecan in the treatment of HR+/HER2- metastatic breast cancer. Future Oncol. 2020;16:705-715. Complete licensing info can be found here: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/. Additional studies are ongoing to confirm our findings in other datasets Objective — TROPHY-U-01 study is currently enrolling patients in C4-6 in first-line — The objective of this analysis was to evaluate the potential impact of Trop-2 expression on efficacy outcomes in patients treated with SG in C1-3 of the TROPHY-U-01 study therapy — The phase 3 TROPiCS-04 study has Methods completed accrual and the results are pending Patients received SG (10 mg/kg intravenous) on day 1 and day 8 of 21-day cycles; C3 patients also received pembrolizumab (200 mg) on day 1 of 21-day cycles. The primary end point was ORR by independent review. For details on the clinical study, refer to previous publications⁸⁻¹⁰

References: 1. Koshkin VS, et al. Transl Androl Urol. 2021;10:4022-4035. 2. National Comprehensive Cancer Network: NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Bladder Cancer. Version 2.2023. 3. Bahlinger V, et al. Poster presented at ASCO GU; Feb 16-18, 2023; San Francisco, CA. 4. Rugo HS, et al. Future Oncol. 2020;16:705-715. **5.** Cardillo TM, et al. *Bioconjugate Chem*. 2015;26:919-931. **6.** Goldenberg DM, et al. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2020;20:871-885. **7.** TRODELVY[®] (sacituzumab govitecan-hziy) [prescribing] information]. Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA; 2023. 8. Tagawa ST, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39:2474-2485. 9. Petrylak DP, et al. Oral presentation presented at ASCO GU; Feb 16-18, 2023; San Francisco, CA. 10. Grivas P, et al. Poster presented at ASCO GU; Feb 16-18, 2023; San Francisco, CA.

Acknowledgments: We thank the patients and their caregivers for helping us realize the possibilities of this research. We thank the dedicated clinical trial investigators and their devoted team members for participating in TROPHY-U-01. This study is sponsored by Gilead Sciences, Inc. Editorial support was provided by Priya Talluri, MSc, of Parexel and funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc. **Correspondence:** stt2007@med.cornell.edu

Archival tumor samples collected at enrollment were assessed for Trop-2 protein expression using the SP295 anti–Trop-2 antibody immunohistochemistry (IHC; Roche Tissue Services) assay with assessment by:

— Trop-2 association with clinical end points was evaluated using unstratified Cox proportional hazards models for survival data and logistic regression for ORR — ORR was calculated by adding complete response and partial response (CR + PR) and dividing by the number of evaluable patients

- For progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS), Trop-2 data are displayed either as above/below median or by tertiles. Tertiles were determined by placing a similar number of patients in each tertile of Trop-2 expression using C1-3. Tertile categories are reported for C1 only, due to small sample sizes in C2 and C3

and CPI) had a 27% objective response rate (ORR); Cohort 2 (C2; 38 patients with



— Histological scores (H-scores; scale, 0-300)

— Percentage of membrane-positive tumor cells (4× magnification)

Results

Patients

- At data cutoff, 192 patients were enrolled in C1-C3
- 144 (75%) patients had tumor tissue samples evaluable for Trop-2 testing
- 139 (72%) patients were evaluable for efficacy analysis based on Trop-2 expression
- Baseline characteristics for patients with Trop-2 data were consistent with the overall population

Trop-2 expression

- published data⁵ (Figure 2)
- Median Trop-2 H-score and percentage of Trop-2 membrane-positive tumor cells for evaluable patient samples were 215 (180-247) and 92% (75-98), respectively — These readouts were highly correlated ($\rho = 0.82$, P < .0001). Correlations with efficacy were therefore only performed using H-scores

Figure 2. Trop-2 H-score in C1-3 (A), percent Trop-2 membrane–positive tumor cells (B), correlation of both scoring metrices (C), examples for Trop-2 IHC images with corresponding H&E (D)





No association between overall response rate (ORR) and Trop-2 expression

groups (Table 1)

Table 1. ORR in C1-3 by Trop-2 H-score (above vs below median)

	N	<u> </u>		> Median (216-300)		Unadjusted (> med vs ≤ med)	
		CR + PR (%)	SD + PD (%)	CR + PR (%)	SD+ PD (%)	OR (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value
C1	87	12/42 (29)	30/42 (71)	16/45 (36)	29/45 (64)	1.38 (0.56-3.41)	.49
C2	16	3/8 (37.5)	5/8 (62.5)	3/8 (37.5)	5/8 (62.5)	1.00 (0.13-7.57)	1.00
C3	36	10/21 (48)	11/21 (52)	6/15 (40)	9/15 (60)	0.73 (0.19-2.81)	.65

stological score; med, median; UR, odds ratio; URR, overall response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable diseas Trop-2, human trophoblast cell surface antigen 2

PFS and OS in C1-3 by Trop-2 H-score (median and tertile cut)

- categorized (Figure 3)
- categorized (Figure 4)

— Trop-2 was highly expressed in tumor tissue samples from patients enrolled in the TROPHY study, in line with





Membrane-positive tumor cells (%)

e; H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; IHC, immunohistochemistry; Trop-2, trophoblast cell surface antigen 2

— ORR was not associated with Trop-2 expression, and response to SG was observed across all Trop-2 expression

— There was no statistically significant relationship between Trop-2 and PFS, regardless of how Trop-2 was

There was no statistically significant relationship between Trop-2 and OS, regardless of how Trop-2 was

Figure 3. KM estimates of PFS by median Trop-2 H-scores in C1 (A), C2 (B), C3 (C), and by tertiles (Trop-2 H-scores) in C1 (D)





ogical score: KM, Kaplan-Meier: PFS, progression-free survival: T, tertile: Trop-2, human tro

Figure 4. KM estimates of OS by median Trop-2 H-scores in C1 (A), C2 (B), C3 (C), and by tertiles (Trop-2 H-scores) in C1 (D)



Patients at risk ≤ Median (0-215)

C, Cohort; H-score, histological score; KM, Kaplan-Meier; OS, overall survival; T, tertile; Trop-2, human trophoblast cell surface antigen 2.

Poster 4579

opies of this poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) Code are for personal use only and may not be eproduced without permission from ASCO[®] or the author of this poster



